

ELEMENTARY INCIDENCE THEOREMS FOR COMPLEX NUMBERS AND QUATERNIONS

JÓZSEF SOLYMOSSI AND KONRAD J. SWANEPOEL

ABSTRACT. We present some elementary ideas to prove the following Sylvester-Gallai type theorems involving incidences between points and lines in the planes over the complex numbers and quaternions.

- (1) Let A and B be finite sets of at least two complex numbers each. Then there exists a line ℓ in the complex affine plane such that $|(A \times B) \cap \ell| = 2$.
- (2) Let S be a finite noncollinear set of points in the complex affine plane. Then there exists a line ℓ such that $2 \leq |S \cap \ell| \leq 5$.
- (3) Let A and B be finite sets of at least two quaternions each. Then there exists a line ℓ in the quaternionic affine plane such that $2 \leq |(A \times B) \cap \ell| \leq 5$.
- (4) Let S be a finite noncollinear set of points in the quaternionic affine plane. Then there exists a line ℓ such that $2 \leq |S \cap \ell| \leq 24$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Sylvester-Gallai theorem [10, 9] states that for any finite noncollinear set of points in the plane, there is a line intersecting the set in exactly two points. See [1, §7.2] for a recent list of references regarding this theorem and its relatives. It is interesting to ask what happens if the underlying field is not the real numbers. In this paper we consider the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. For more general considerations see [7]. It is a consequence of an inequality of Hirzebruch [4] that any finite noncollinear subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$ always intersects some line in 2 or 3 points. No elementary proof is known of either the inequality or this consequence (see [1, §7.3, Problem 7]). However, by adapting Kelly's proof [2] of the Sylvester-Gallai theorem that uses the smallest perpendicular distance between points and non-incident lines, it is possible to prove the following somewhat weaker statement.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a finite noncollinear subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then there exists a line ℓ such that $2 \leq |S \cap \ell| \leq 5$.*

J. Solymosi was partially supported by NSERC and OTKA grants and by a Sloan Fellowship.

K. J. Swanepoel was supported by the South African National Research Foundation under Grant number 2053752. He also gratefully acknowledges the invitation to and support at the Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia.

Using the above consequence of the inequality of Hirzebruch, Kelly [5] showed that for any finite nonplanar subset of $\mathbb{C}^3$ there exists a line intersecting the set in exactly two points, thus answering a question of Serre [8]. Another proof can be found in [3] that avoids the use of Hirzebruch's inequality. There it is also shown that in a finite subset of $\mathbb{H}^4$ that does not lie on a hyperplane there is a line intersecting the set in exactly two points. However, it is not known what can be said about 3-dimensional or 2-dimensional finite subsets in quaternionic space. The following theorem is a first step. It also uses Kelly's shortest distance idea.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a finite noncollinear subset of $\mathbb{H}^2$. Then there exists a line ℓ such that $2 \leq |S \cap \ell| \leq 24$.*

Note that although the number 24 is most likely too high, no example is known that shows it has to be larger than 3.

As easier special cases one may consider grids, i.e., Cartesian products. Here there are much stronger conclusions.

Theorem 3. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $2 \leq |A|, |B| < \infty$. Then there exists a line ℓ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $|(A \times B) \cap \ell| = 2$.*

Theorem 4. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{H}$ with $2 \leq |A|, |B| < \infty$. Then there exists a line ℓ in $\mathbb{H}^2$ such that $2 \leq |(A \times B) \cap \ell| \leq 5$.*

2. PROOFS

We consider $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a complex inner product space in the usual way, i.e., we define the inner product of vectors (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as

$$\langle (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \rangle = a_1 \overline{a_2} + b_1 \overline{b_2},$$

the norm of $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ as

$$\|(a, b)\| = \sqrt{\langle (a, b), (a, b) \rangle},$$

and the distance between points (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as $\|(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)\|$. Working carefully with inner products one can then determine the shortest distance between a point and a line in $\mathbb{C}^2$, as will be done in the next proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. As in Kelly's proof of the real Sylvester-Gallai theorem, we choose three noncollinear points $p, q, r \in S$ such that the distance between p and the line qr is a minimum. We show that qr contains at most 5 points.

After a unitary transformation and a dilatation (thus preserving the minimum distance) we may assume that $p = (0, 1)$ and that the line qr is the x -axis, i.e., $qr \cap S = \{(z_1, 0), \dots, (z_k, 0)\}$. Thus the distance between p and qr equals 1. Choose any two points $(z_i, 0), (z_j, 0) \in qr \cap S$. Then the distance between $(z_j, 0)$ and the line ℓ through $(0, 1)$ and $(z_i, 0)$ must be at least 1. Considering an arbitrary point

$$(1 - \lambda)(z_i, 0) + \lambda(0, 1)$$

on ℓ , a simple calculation gives the square of its distance to $(z_j, 0)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - \lambda)(z_i, 0) + \lambda(0, 1) - (z_j, 0)\|^2 \\ &= \overline{(z_i - z_j)}^2 - (z_i - z_j)\overline{\lambda z_i} - \lambda z_i \overline{(z_i - z_j)} + |\lambda|^2 |z_i|^2 + |\lambda|^2 \\ &= \frac{|z_i - z_j|^2}{1 + |z_i|^2} + \frac{1 + |z_i|^2}{|z_i|^2} \left| \frac{|z_i|^2}{1 + |z_i|^2} (z_i - z_j) - \lambda z_i \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is minimised when

$$\lambda = \frac{(z_i - z_j)\overline{z_i}}{1 + |z_i|^2},$$

which gives that the square of the distance between $(z_j, 0)$ and ℓ is

$$\frac{|z_i - z_j|^2}{1 + |z_i|^2}.$$

Since this quantity is at least 1 for all distinct i and j , we obtain in particular that $|z_i - z_j| > |z_i|$ and $|z_i - z_j| > |z_j|$. Therefore, for each triangle $\triangle 0z_i z_j$ in the Argand plane, the side $z_i z_j$ is the unique longest side. This implies that the angle $\angle z_i 0 z_j > 60^\circ$, giving $|qr \cap S| < 6$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. We consider $\mathbb{H}^2$ to be a left vector space, which can be turned into an inner product space in exactly the same way as $\mathbb{C}^2$, where we recall that the conjugate of a quaternion $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk$ is $\overline{\alpha} = a - bi - cj - dk$. The distance between two points is defined as before, and we may follow the previous proof almost verbatim, since the commutative law is not used anywhere. The only change is that the Argand plane has to be replaced by the identification of $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$. We obtain that $|qr \cap S|$ is bounded above by the number of rays from the origin in $\mathbb{R}^4$ that are at angles strictly greater than 60° . This is easily seen to be bounded above by the kissing number of a 4-dimensional ball, which was shown to be 24 by Musin [6]. $\square$

We in fact obtained in the above proof that the *strict kissing number* is an upper bound, i.e., the largest number of unit balls in $\mathbb{R}^4$ touching a single unit ball, and none of them touching each other. Most likely the strict kissing number of $\mathbb{R}^4$ is strictly smaller than 24, but this is an open problem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then for any points $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in A \times B$ with $a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2$, there exists a third point $(a_3, b_3) \in A \times B$ collinear with (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) .

Colour the elements of A red and the elements of B blue (and to be thought of as points in the Argand plane). Our assumption easily implies that for any distinct red points a_1 and a_2 and any distinct blue points b_1 and b_2 there exists a third red point a_3 and blue point b_3 such that some orientation preserving similarity $z \mapsto \alpha z + \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, maps a_i to b_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Choose a_1 and a_2 to be the pair of red points closest together, and b_1 and b_2 the pair of blue points furthest apart. We now analyse the positions of a_3 and b_3 . Since a_1 and a_2 are the closest pair of red points, a_3 is not in the interior of the circles of radius a_1a_2 and with a_1 and a_2 as centres. Similarly, b_3 is not exterior to the circles of radius b_1b_2 and with centres b_1 and b_2 . Since there is an orientation-preserving similarity taking $\triangle a_1a_2a_3$ to $\triangle b_1b_2b_3$, there are exactly two possibilities for a_3 , namely the intersection points of the two circles of radius a_1a_2 , both making $\triangle a_1a_2a_3$ equilateral. Similarly, b_3 is an intersection point of the two circles of radius b_1b_2 , and $\triangle b_1b_2b_3$ is equilateral.

Now interchange b_1 and b_2 , i.e., we consider the line through (a_1, b_2) and (a_2, b_1) . By assumption there is a third point (a'_3, b'_3) on the line through (a_1, b_2) and (a_2, b_1) . As above, a'_3 is one of the two points of intersection of the circles with radius a_1a_2 , and b'_3 one of the points of intersection of the circles with radii b_1b_2 .

Suppose that $a'_3 = a_3$. There is a unique orientation preserving similarity taking a_2 to b_1 and a_1 to b_2 . Since this transformation does not map a_3 to b_3 , it follows that $b'_3 \neq b_3$ must be the other point of intersection of the two circles of radius b_1b_2 . However, the distance $b_3b'_3 > b_1b_2$, contradicting the choice of b_1 and b_2 . It follows that $a'_3 \neq a_3$. Since the similarity taking a_2 to b_1 and a_1 to b_2 does not map a_3 to b_3 , it maps a'_3 to b_3 , i.e., $b'_3 = b_3$.

We have found a fourth red point a'_3 with $\triangle a_1a_2a'_3$ equilateral. This argument can be repeated indefinitely with other equilateral triangles of smallest side length in A , contradicting the finiteness of A . $\square$

Note that we made essential use of the fact that the similarities preserve orientation. Without this, we would only be able to conclude that there is a line ℓ such that $2 \leq |(A \times B) \cap \ell| \leq 3$.

Proof of Theorem 4. We proceed along the same lines as in the previous proof. Since we consider $\mathbb{H}^2$ to be a left vector space, lines are either of the form $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^2 : x = 0\}$ (vertical lines) or of the form $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^2 : y = xm + c\}$ for some $m, c \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let $\{a_1, a_2\}$ be a closest pair in A and $\{b_1, b_2\}$ a furthest pair in B . Consider the line ℓ through (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) . Since $a_1 \neq a_2$, ℓ is of the form $y = xm + c$. Consider the projections

$$A' = \{x \in \mathbb{H} : (x, y) \in \ell \cap (A \times B)\}$$

and

$$B' = \{y \in \mathbb{H} : (x, y) \in \ell \cap (A \times B)\}.$$

Then the mapping

$$\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}; x \mapsto xm + c$$

maps A' to B' . Identifying $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$ we see that φ is a similarity, which gives that B' is similar to A' . Because the smallest distance in A' (namely a_1a_2) is mapped to the largest distance in B' (namely b_1b_2), it follows that

A' and B' are both equilateral sets, hence $|\ell \cap (A \times B)| = |A'| = |B'| \leq 5$. The theorem follows. $\square$

Note that although the similarity φ in the above proof is orientation-preserving, we have not been able to exploit this fact.

REFERENCES

1. P. Brass, W. Moser, and J. Pach, *Research problems in discrete geometry*, Springer, New York, 2005.
2. H. S. M. Coxeter, *A problem of collinear points*, Amer. Math. Monthly **55** (1948), 26–28.
3. N. Elkies, L. M. Pretorius, K. J. Swanepoel, *Sylvester-Gallai Theorems for Complex Numbers and Quaternions*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), 361–373.
4. F. Hirzebruch, *Arrangements of lines and algebraic surfaces*, Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1983, pp. 113–140.
5. L. M. Kelly, *A resolution of the Sylvester-Gallai problem of J.-P. Serre*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **1** (1986), 101–104.
6. O. R. Musin, *The kissing number in four dimensions*, preprint, 2005. <http://arxiv.org/math.MG/0309430>
7. L. M. Pretorius, K. J. Swanepoel, *The Sylvester-Gallai theorem, colourings and algebra*, submitted, 2006. <http://arxiv.org/math.CO/0606131>
8. J.-P. Serre, *Problems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 89.
9. R. Steinberg, *Solution to Problem 4065*, Amer. Math. Monthly **51** (1944), 169–171.
10. J. J. Sylvester, *Educational Times* **46**, No. 383, 156, March 1, 1893.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD, VANCOUVER, BC, CANADA V6T 1Z2
E-mail address: solymosi@math.ubc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA, PO Box 392, PRETORIA 0003, SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: swanekj@unisa.ac.za